

## **“The Two Choices We Face”**

### **Discussion Questions**

After hearing and/or reading *“The Two Choices We Face”* by Jim Rohn, individual(s) should use the questions below to guide reflection and make meaningful connections.

1. Rohn says “our ultimate life objective should be to create as much as our talent and ability and desire will permit.” Why do you agree or disagree with his perspective?
  
2. As you reflect on your life thus far, would you say that you have generally decided to “do more” or to “do less”? On what do you base your answer?
  
3. Who has influenced your “life ethic” and served as a catalyst for your choices to “do more” or to “do less”?
  
4. In the first paragraph, Rohn states that we have two distinct choices in our lives. He continues by saying that the first choice is to be less than “we have the capacity to be; to earn less and to have less.” Are “earning” and “having” true indicators of whether a person is doing “more” or “less”? Why or why not?
  
5. In describing the choice to “do it all”, Rohn places earning, striving, producing and accomplishing in conversation with giving and sharing. How are the former concepts compatible with the latter concepts? How might they be exclusive of one another?
  
6. He goes further to emphasize the importance of results versus conversation, stating that results, not conversation, are “the best measure of human progress.” Why do you agree or disagree with this statement? Can conversation ever serve as a positive result? If so, how?
  
7. How does “The Two Choices We Face” foster individual competition? Individual collaboration?
  
8. What other thoughts do you have in response to this piece?